UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/922,837	08/07/2001	Tomotoshi Sato	210263US-2	8604
22850 7590 10/17/2008 OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			EXAMINER	
			TRUONG, LAN DAI T	
ALEAANDRIA, VA 22514			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		2452		
		NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			10/17/2008	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/922,837	SATO, TOMOTOSHI		
Examiner	Art Unit		
LAN-DAI Thi TRUONG	2152		

	LAN-DAI Thi TRUONG	2152	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appea	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>01 October 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of A eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavi al (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires <u>03</u> months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ac no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (the MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)	lvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extender 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the sleet forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later that may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount on tending amount of the corresponding amount of t	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exten Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS 	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b	ut prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered be	Called
(a) They raise new issues that would require further con			cause
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	•	, ,	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or	er form for appeal by materially rec	ducing or simplifying th	ne issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a c	orresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 		mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowed non-allowable claim(s). 	owable if submitted in a separate, t	timely filed amendmer	nt canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		l be entered and an ex	xplanation of
Claim(s) allowed: <u>none</u> .			
Claim(s) objected to: <u>none</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,3-6,8-11,13-18,20-28,30-36 and 41-48</u> Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	3.		
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to over showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	rercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	al and/or appellant fails see 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	s to provide a).
10.	of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attache	ed.
 The request for reconsideration has been considered but <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowand	ce because:
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (I 13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
	/Kenny S Lin/ Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 2452	

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

- 1. The previous 112 rejection is withdrawn responding to applicant's amendments to claim 11.
- 2. In response to applicant's arguments with respect to the cited references do not teach feature of "a management unit disposed in an image forming device that manages other image forming device" are not persuasive for follow reasons: a) the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., a management unit disposed in an image forming device that manages other image forming device) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). The claim original was disclosed as "a management unit configured to manage the plurality of other image forming devices and said image forming device". Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, however limitations from the specification are not read into the claims; b) Nishio teaches a network includes a printer server computer (1208) which capable to manage local printers or remoter printer (2109a, 1209b), see (Nishio: figure 12, items 1208, 2109a, 1209b, 1201, 1203, 1204; [0051]-[0053]).
- 3. In response to applicant's arguments with respect to Denman does not describes feature of "a selection unit, provided in said image forming computer, configured to select a managing image forming device to manage the plurality of other image forming devices and said image forming device" are not persuasive. This limitation is rejected under combination of Nishio and Denman. Nishio discloses a network includes a printer server computer (1208) which capable to manage local printers or remoter printer (2109a, 1209b), see (Nishio: figure 12, items 1208, 2109a, 1209b, 1201, 1203, 1204; [0051]-[0053]); while Denman covers shortcomings from Nishio (i.e. a selection unit configured to select a managing device to manage the plurality of other devices and said device). In particularly, Denamn discloses a group of interconnected nodes. Each node is configured to select a coordinator node (known as managing node) out of the group. The coordinator node capable to control itself (e.g. reset/ and initialization/ and control the TPA selection process) and control configurations for all other nodes in the group (Denamn, abstract; column 2, lines 1-22; column 3, lines 46-60; column 4, lines 1-9; figure 1; figure 4; column 4, lines 1-15). The coordinator node includes self control function (Denamn, figure 1; abstract; figure 4).
- 4. In response to applicant's arguments with respect to Denman does not describe the nodes are separate image forming device, the office respectfully notes that Denman is used to cover shortcomings from Nishio (i.e. a selection unit configured to select a managing device to manage the plurality of other devices and said device) not feature of "image forming device" which is taught by Nishio, see (Nishio: figure 12, items 1208, 2109a, 1209b, 1201, 1203, 1204; [0051]-[0053]).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lan-Dai Thi Truong whose telephone number is 571-272-7959. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday- Friday from 8:30am to 5:00 pm.